

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 21, 1999 LB 62

SENATOR LANDIS: ...and not to have your assets eaten up by experts. However, there is some sense that says, wait a second, if we're going to make this really relatively simple trust document, let's not let put...let's not let people put multimillion dollar trusts in this; we're going to cap it at \$100,000. It's sort of the balance of risk against simplicity. You get simplicity, but you can't be...you can't take that simplicity and use it for a really complex, really large holding.

SENATOR JANSSEN: So...but could you set up another trust with another trustee or trustor or whatever it is, the guy that's going to handle it for them? Could you have more than one with a different person handling it?

SENATOR LANDIS: Yeah. I'll hope that that's the right answer,...

SENATOR JANSSEN: So...

SENATOR LANDIS: ...but I'll just say yeah.

SENATOR JANSSEN: So if you had...say if you had three children, you wanted...

SENATOR LANDIS: Certitude I think, by the way, always is better than rectitude. That is to say, if you just say yes and mean it, it's.... I'm not sure. I know of nothing in the statute that would not permit you to have multiple custodial trusts.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Okay. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Janssen. Chair recognizes Senator Byars.

SENATOR BYARS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I rise in support of LB 62. I think, obviously, the...the barristers in the group will recognize the complexities of so many different types of trusts and possibly, Senator Landis, it would help to clarify a little bit that this...this is a trust set up for a very specific purpose. And I would agree with his "yes" answer that